

250 High Street Enfield

Environmental Noise Survey and Acoustic Design Statement Report

26595/ADS1

8 August 2019

For:
Ponders End Properties Ltd
Block C, 2nd Floor
OCC Estate
105 Eade Road
London
N4 1TJ



Hann Tucker Associates



Consultants in Acoustics Noise & Vibration

Head Office: Duke House, 1-2 Duke Street, Woking, Surrey, GU21 5BA (t) +44 (0) 1483 770 595
Manchester Office: First Floor, 346 Deansgate, Manchester, M3 4LY (t) +44 (0) 161 832 7041
(w) hanntucker.co.uk (e) enquiries@hanntucker.co.uk



Environmental Noise Survey and Acoustic Design Statement Report 26595/ADS1

Document Control

Rev	Date	Comment	Prepared by	Authorised by
0	08/08/2019	-		
			Daniel Stuart Assistant Consultant BSc(Hons), AMIOA	John Ridpath Director BSc(Hons), MIOA, MIEEnvSc

This report has been prepared by Hann Tucker Associates Limited (HTA) with all reasonable skill, care and diligence in accordance with generally accepted acoustic consultancy principles and the purposes and terms agreed between HTA and our Client. Any information provided by third parties and referred to herein may not have been checked or verified by HTA unless expressly stated otherwise. This document contains confidential and commercially sensitive information and shall not be disclosed to third parties. Any third party relies upon this document at their own risk.



Environmental Noise Survey and Acoustic Design Statement Report 26595/ADS1

Contents		Page
1.0	Introduction	1
2.0	Objectives	1
3.0	Site Description	1
4.0	Acoustic Terminology	3
5.0	Methodology	3
6.0	Results	5
7.0	Discussion of Noise Climate	5
8.0	Relevant Planning Policies and Guidance	6
9.0	Proposed Design Target Internal Noise Levels	18
10.0	Achievable Internal Noise Levels	18
11.0	Mitigation Measures	21
12.0	Plant Noise Emission Criteria	22
13.0	Conclusions	23

Attachments

Appendix A – Acoustic Terminology



1.0 Introduction

A residential development and extension to the existing pub is proposed at the current site of the Goat Pub, 250 High Street, Enfield.

Hann Tucker Associates have therefore been commissioned to undertake an environmental noise survey and noise impact assessment in order to assess the suitability of the site for residential use.

This report presents the methodology and findings of our noise survey and assessment in the context of national planning policies and the policy of the Local Authority.

2.0 Objectives

To undertake an environmental noise survey to establish the existing L_{Amax} , L_{Aeq} and L_{A90} environmental road, rail and air traffic noise levels at selected accessible positions.


Based on the results of the survey, to undertake a noise assessment to assess the suitability of the site for residential use in accordance with the Noise Policy Statement for England (NPSE), National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF), Planning Practice Guidance (ProPG), British Standard BS8233:2014 and Local Authority requirements.

To assess the likely noise impact of the use of a proposed nearby public house roof terrace on the proposed residential development.

3.0 Site Description

3.1 Location

The site is located at 250 High Street Enfield. The location is shown in the Location Map on the following page.




Location Map (Map data © google 2019)

The site falls within the jurisdiction of the London borough of Enfield.

3.2 Description

The site is currently a 3No. storey pub with car park to the rear, and accommodation above. The neighbouring properties on the high street are mostly of a retail use with residential above, and have a range of heights from 2No. to 7No. storeys. To the rear of the site on Queensway is a supermarket car park.

The site is shown in the Site Plan below.



Site Plan (Vine/ Architecture Studio © 2019)



4.0 Acoustic Terminology

For an explanation of the acoustic terminology used in this report please refer to Appendix A enclosed.

5.0 Methodology

The survey was undertaken by Daniel Stuart, Assistant Consultant BSc(Hons), AMIOA and assisted by James Hardacre, Technical Assistant.

5.1 Procedure

Fully automated environmental noise monitoring was undertaken from approximately 13:00 hours on 26 July 2019 to 13:00 hours on 30 July 2019.

During the periods we were on site the wind conditions were moderate. The sky was generally patchy cloud and there were rainy downpours on both occasions. We understand that generally throughout the survey period the weather conditions were generally patchy cloud with a few periods of rain. As the rainy periods were only short over the entirety of the survey, these conditions are considered suitable for obtaining representative measurement results.


Measurements were taken continuously of the A-weighted (dBA) L_{90} , L_{eq} and L_{max} sound pressure levels over 15 minute periods.

5.2 Measurement Positions

The noise level measurements were undertaken at 2No. positions as described in the table below.

Position No	Description
Position 1	The sound level meter was located at the top of the proposed roof terrace veranda and microphone attached to a pole at the high street facing façade of the Goat Pub approximately 3 metres from ground level.
Position 2	The sound level meter was located at the rear of the Goat Pub bin area by the Queensway façade. The microphone was attached to a pole of approximate height of 5m.

The positions are shown on the following plan (on next page).



Site Plan showing measurement positions (Vine/ Architecture Studio © 2019)

5.3 Instrumentation

The instrumentation used during the survey is presented in the table below:

Description	Manufacturer	Type	Serial Number	Calibration
Position 1 Type 1 ½" Condenser Microphone	Bruel & Kjaer	4189	2470596	11/07/2019
Position 1 Preamp	Larson Davis	PRM902	4214	11/07/2019
Position 1 Type 1 Data Logging Sound Level Meter	Larson Davis	824	3803	11/07/2019
Position 2 Type 1 ½" Condenser Microphone	PCB	377B02	122885	09/07/2018
Position 2 Preamp	Larson Davis	PRM902	3692	09/07/2018
Position 2 Type 1 Data Logging Sound Level Meter	Larson Davis	824	3444	09/07/2018
Type 1 Calibrator	Bruel & Kjaer	4231	2610161	19/09/2018



Each sound level meter, including the extension cable, was calibrated prior to and on completion of the surveys. No significant change was found to have occurred (no more than 0.1 dB).

Each sound level meter was located in an environmental case with the microphone connected to the sound level meter via an extension cable. Each microphone was fitted with a windshield.

6.0 Results

The results have been plotted on Time History Graphs 26595/TH1 and 26595/TH2 enclosed presenting the 15 minute A-weighted (dBA) L_{90} , L_{eq} and L_{max} levels at each measurement position throughout the duration of the survey.

6.1 L_{eq} Noise Levels

In order to compare the results of our survey with the relevant guidelines it is necessary to convert the measured $L_{Aeq(15\text{ minute})}$ noise levels into single figure daytime $L_{Aeq(16\text{-hour})}$ (07:00-23:00 hours) and night-time $L_{Aeq(8\text{-hour})}$ (23:00-07:00 hours) levels.

The daytime $L_{Aeq(16\text{-hour})}$ and night-time $L_{Aeq(8\text{-hour})}$ noise levels for each position are presented in the tables below.

Position	Daytime $L_{Aeq(16\text{-hour})}$	Night-Time $L_{Aeq(8\text{-hour})}$
1	67 dB	66 dB
2	62 dB	53 dB

NB: The above noise levels are free field levels.

6.2 Night-time L_{max} Results

During the night-time period 23:00 hours – 07:00 hours the recorded L_{Amax} events at position 1 range from 43 dB to 100 dB. The 10th highest L_{Amax} event recorded was 88 dB.

During the night-time period 23:00 hours – 07:00 hours the recorded L_{Amax} events at position 2 range from 59 dB to 95 dB. The 10th highest L_{Amax} event recorded was 74 dB.

7.0 Discussion of Noise Climate

During the periods we were on site the dominant noise sources at both positions was noted to be traffic noise and some noise from rainy showers.



Upon speaking to the pub manager on site we understand the beer garden was in use during our survey at position 1.

8.0 Relevant Planning Policies and Guidance

8.1 Noise Policy Statement for England

The Noise Policy Statement for England (NPSE) was published in March 2010 (i.e. before the NPPF). The NPSE is the overarching statement of noise policy for England and applies to all forms of noise other than occupational noise, setting out the long term vision of Government noise policy which is to:

“Promote good health and a good quality of life through the effective management of noise within the context of Government policy on sustainable development.”

That vision is supported by the following NPSE noise policy aims which are reflected in three of the four aims of planning policies and decisions in paragraph 123 of the NPPF (see paragraph 8.2 (b) below):

“Through the effective management and control of environmental, neighbour and neighbourhood noise within the context of Government policy on sustainable development:

- *avoid significant adverse impacts on health and quality of life;*
- *mitigate and minimise adverse impacts on health and quality of life; and*
- *where possible, contribute to the improvement of health and quality of life.”*

The Explanatory Note to the NPSE has three concepts for the assessment of noise in this country:

NOEL – No Observed Effect Level

This is the level below which no effect can be detected and below which there is no detectable effect on health and quality of life due to noise.

LOAEL – Lowest Observable Adverse Effect Level

This is the level above which adverse effects on health and quality of life can be detected.

SOAEL – Significant Observed Adverse Effect Level

This is the level above which significant adverse effects on health and quality of life occur.



None of these three levels are defined numerically and for the SOAEL the NPSE makes it clear that the noise level is likely to vary depending upon the noise source, the receptor and the time of day/day of the week, etc. The need for more research to investigate what may represent an SOAEL for noise is acknowledged in the NPSE and the NPSE asserts that not stating specific SOAEL levels provides policy flexibility in the period until there is further evidence and guidance.

The NPSE concludes by explaining in a little more detail how the LOAEL and SOAEL relate to the three NPSE noise policy aims listed above. It starts with the aim of avoiding significant adverse effects on health and quality of life, then addresses the situation where the noise impact falls between the LOAEL and the SOAEL when *“all reasonable steps should be taken to mitigate and minimise adverse effects on health and quality of life while also taking into account the guiding principles of sustainable development.”* The final aim envisages pro-active management of noise to improve health and quality of life, again taking into account the guiding principles of sustainable development which include the need to minimise travel distance between housing and employment uses in an area.

8.2 National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF)

The following paragraphs are from the NPPF (revised February 2019):

“180. Planning policies and decisions should also ensure that new development is appropriate for its location taking into account the likely effects (including cumulative effects) of pollution on health, living conditions and the natural environment, as well as the potential sensitivity of the site or the wider area to impacts that could arise from the development. In doing so they should:

- a) mitigate and reduce to a minimum potential adverse impacts resulting from noise from new development – and avoid noise giving rise to significant adverse impacts on health and the quality of life;
- b) identify and protect tranquil areas which have remained relatively undisturbed by noise and are prized for their recreational and amenity value for this reason.

182. Planning policies and decisions should ensure that new development can be integrated effectively with existing businesses and community facilities (such as places of worship, pubs, music venues and sports clubs). Existing businesses and facilities should not have unreasonable restrictions placed on them as a result of development permitted after they were established. Where the operation of an existing business or community facility could have a significant adverse effect on new development (including changes of use) in its vicinity, the



applicant (or ‘agent of change’) should be required to provide suitable mitigation before the development has been completed.”

Paragraph 180 also references the Noise Policy Statement for England. This document does not refer to specific noise levels but instead sets out three aims:

“Avoid significant adverse impacts on health and quality of life from environmental, neighbour and neighbourhood noise within the context of Government policy on sustainable development.

Mitigate and minimise adverse impacts on health and quality of life from environmental, neighbour and neighbourhood noise within the context of Government policy on sustainable development.

Where possible, contribute to the improvement of health and quality of life through the effective management and control of environmental, neighbour and neighbourhood noise within the context of Government policy on sustainable development.”

8.3 Planning Practice Guidance on Noise

Planning Practice Guidance (PPG) under the NPPF has been published by the Government as a web based resource at <http://planningguidance.planningportal.gov.uk/blog/guidance/>. This includes specific guidance on Noise although, like the NPPF and NPSE the PPG does not provide any quantitative advice. It seeks to illustrate a range of effect levels in terms of examples of outcomes as set out in the following table:

Perception	Examples of Outcomes	Increasing effect level	Action
Not noticeable	No effect	No Observed Effect	No specific measures required
Noticeable and not intrusive	Noise can be heard, but does not cause any change in behaviour or attitude. Can slightly affect the acoustic character of the area but not such that there is a perceived change in the quality of life.	No Observed Adverse Effect	No specific measures required
		Lowest Observed Adverse Effect Level	
Noticeable and intrusive	Noise can be heard and causes small changes in behaviour and/or attitude, e.g. turning up volume of television; speaking more loudly; where there is no alternative ventilation, having to close windows for some of the time because of the noise. Potential for some reported sleep disturbance.	Observed Adverse Effect	Mitigate and reduce to a minimum
		Significant Observed Adverse Effect Level	



Noticeable and disruptive	The noise causes a material change in behaviour and/or attitude, e.g. avoiding certain activities during periods of intrusion; where there is no alternative ventilation, having to keep windows closed most of the time because of the noise. Potential for sleep disturbance resulting in difficulty in getting to sleep, premature awakening and difficulty in getting back to sleep. Quality of life diminished due to change in acoustic character of the area.	Significant Observed Adverse Effect	Avoid
Noticeable and very disruptive	Extensive and regular changes in behaviour and/or an inability to mitigate effect of noise leading to psychological stress or physiological effects, e.g. regular sleep deprivation/awakening; loss of appetite, significant, medically definable hard, e.g. auditory and non-auditory.	Unacceptable Adverse Effect	Prevent

8.4 The London Plan (2016)

The London Plan, published in 2011 with minor revisions in 2013, 2015 and 2016, provides an overall strategic plan for London, and it sets out a fully integrated economic, environmental, transport and social framework for the development of the capital to 2031. The Plan brings together the Mayor’s strategies, including policy on a range of environmental issues, such as climate change, air quality, noise and waste. London boroughs’ local plans need to be in general conformity with the London Plan, and its policies guide decisions on planning applications by councils and the Mayor.

Policy 7.15 specifically relates to noise and states:

“Development proposals should seek to reduce noise by:

- a) Minimising the existing and potential; adverse impacts of noise on, from, within, or in the vicinity of, development proposals;*
- b) Separating new noise sensitive development from major noise sources wherever practicable through the use of distance, screening, or internal layout in preference to sole reliance on sound insulation;*
- c) Promoting new technologies and improving practices to reduce noise at source.”*

London Plan – Housing Supplementary Planning Guidance

The Housing SPG 2016 highlights the elements of the London Plan that are relevant to housing development, and where applicable, provides more detail. The SPG states:

“Noise – Baseline



Standard 5.3.1 (and Policy 7.15) – The layout of adjacent dwellings and the location of lifts and circulation spaces should seek to limit the transmission of noise to sound sensitive rooms within dwellings.

Policy 7.15 Reducing Noise and Enhancing Soundscapes requires development proposal to seek to reduce noise and manage the effects of noise. It is another important aspect of retreat and privacy in a dwelling. Noise from the street and adjoining properties can cause stress, sleep disturbance and friction between neighbours as recognised in the NPPF154.

2.3.35 All dwellings should be built with acoustic insulation and tested to current Building Regulations standards 155. However, acoustic insulation should not be relied upon as the only means of limiting noise and the layout and placement of rooms within the building should be considered at an early stage in the design process to limit the impact of external noise on bedrooms and living rooms. The impact of noise should also be considered in the placement of private external spaces.”

8.5 The Draft New London Plan (2019 Draft)

This is a new London Plan (also known as a Replacement Plan). This means it is not an alteration or update to previous London Plans. This new London Plan, once published will be the third London Plan, the previous ones being the 2004 London Plan produced by former Mayor of London Ken Livingstone and the 2011 London Plan produced by former Mayor of London Boris Johnson. All of the other iterations of the London Plan from 2004-2016 have been alterations. Once published adopted this London Plan will replace all previous versions.

Policy D13 Noise states:

- A. *“In order to reduce, manage and mitigate noise to improve health and quality of life, residential and other non-aviation development proposals should manage noise by:*
- 1) avoiding significant adverse noise impacts on health and quality of life*
 - 2) reflecting the Agent of Change principle as set out in Policy D12.*
 - 3) mitigating and minimising the existing and potential adverse impacts of noise on, from, within, as a result of, or in the vicinity of new development without placing unreasonable restrictions on existing noise-generating uses.*
 - 4) improving and enhancing the acoustic environment and promoting appropriate soundscapes (including Quiet Areas and spaces of relative tranquillity).*
 - 5) separating new noise-sensitive development from major noise sources (such as road, rail, air transport and some types of industrial use) through the use of*



distance, screening, layout, orientation, uses and materials – in preference to sole reliance on sound insulation.

6) where it is not possible to achieve separation of noise-sensitive development and noise sources without undue impact on other sustainable development objectives, then any potential adverse effects should be controlled and mitigated through applying good acoustic design principles.

7) promoting new technologies and improved practices to reduce noise at source, and on the transmission path from source to receiver.

B. Boroughs, and others with relevant responsibilities, should identify and nominate new Quiet Areas and protect existing Quiet Areas in line with the procedure in Defra's Noise Action Plan for Agglomerations.

3.13.1. The management of noise is about encouraging the right acoustic environment in the right place at the right time. This is important to promote good health and a good quality of life within the wider context of achieving sustainable development. The management of noise should be an integral part of development proposals and considered as early as possible. Managing noise includes improving and enhancing the acoustic environment and promoting appropriate soundscapes. This can mean allowing some places or certain times to become noisier within reason, whilst others become quieter. Consideration of existing noise sensitivity within an area is important to minimise potential conflicts of uses or activities, for example in relation to internationally important nature conservation sites which contain noise-sensitive species. Boroughs, developers, businesses and other stakeholders should work collaboratively to identify the existing noise climate and other noise issues to ensure effective management and mitigation measures are achieved in new development proposals.

3.13.2. The Agent of Change Principle places the responsibility for mitigating impacts from existing noise-generating activities or uses on the new development. Through the application of this principle existing land uses should not be unduly impacted affected by the introduction of new noise-sensitive uses. For noise-generating uses regard should be had to not prejudicing their potential for intensification or expansion.

3.13.3. The management of noise also includes promoting good acoustic design of the inside of buildings. Section 5 of BS 8223:2014 provides guidance on how best to achieve this. The Institute of Acoustics has produced advice Pro:PG Planning and Noise (May 2017) that may assist with the implementation of residential developments. BS4214 provides guidance on monitoring noise issues in mixed residential/industrial areas.

3.13.4. Deliberately introducing sounds can help mitigate the adverse impact of existing sources of noise, enhance the enjoyment of the public realm, and help protect the relative tranquillity and quietness of places where such features are valued. For example, playing low-level music outside the entrance to nightclubs has been found to



reduce noise from queueing patrons, leading to an overall reduction in noise levels. Water features can be used to reduce the traffic noise, replacing it with the sound of falling water, generally found to be more pleasant by most people.

3.13.5. *Heathrow and London City Airport Operators have responsibility for noise action plans for airports. Policy T8 Aviation sets out the Mayor's approach to aviation-related development.*

3.13.6. *The definition of Tranquil Areas, Quiet Areas and spaces of relative tranquillity are matters for London boroughs. These are likely to reflect the specific context of individual boroughs, such that Quiet Areas in central London boroughs may reasonably be expected not to be as quiet as Quiet Areas in more residential boroughs. Defra has identified parts of Metropolitan Open Land and local green spaces as potential Quiet Areas that boroughs may wish to designate."*

8.6 London Plan Sustainable Design and Construction SPG

The London Plan Sustainable Design and Construction SPG provides additional information in the following key areas:

- The sources of noise;
- Ways to mitigate noise emitted by developments;
- Ways to mitigate the impact of noise on developments; and
- Some detailed design considerations.

8.7 Local Planning Policy

The following is taken from the London Borough of Enfield DMD 68.

"1. Developments that generate or would be exposed to an unacceptable level of noise will not be permitted.

2. Developments must be sensitively designed, managed and operated to reduce exposure to noise and noise generation. Particular regard should be had to the following: a. Building design (positioning of façades and selection of materials); b. Layout of uses and rooms; c. Positioning of building services; d. Landscaping; e. Sound insulation; and f. Hours of operation and deliveries.

3. Development involving noise sensitive uses close to sources of significant noise will only be permitted if mitigation measures reduce noise to an acceptable level to safeguard the amenity of future occupiers. Applications must be accompanied by a noise assessment to demonstrate



that occupiers/users will not be exposed to unacceptable levels of noise, having regard to relevant noise exposure categories noise standards and corresponding advice.

4. Development involving potential noise generating development will only be permitted in appropriate locations where there is no adverse impact on amenity.

5. Proposals for plant and machinery that result in harm to amenity will not be permitted. Developments must not contribute to cumulatively higher noise levels and, must be designed so as not to increase the lowest measured background level.”

From previous guidance of the Local Authority for similar schemes, we understand to satisfy point 2e above the following is required:

“The development shall be constructed/adapted so as to provide sufficient airborne and structure-borne sound insulation against externally generated noise and vibration. This sound insulation shall ensure that the level of noise generated from external sources shall be no higher than 35 dB(A) from 7am – 11pm in bedrooms, living rooms and dining rooms and 30 dB(A) in bedrooms from 11pm – 7am measured as a LAeq,T. The LAF Max shall not exceed 45dB in bedrooms 11pm – 7am. A scheme for mitigation measures shall be submitted to and approved by the Local Planning Authority prior to development taking place. The scheme of mitigation shall include mechanical ventilation where the internal noise levels exceed those stated in BS8233: 2014 with the windows open. The approved mitigation scheme shall be implemented in its entirety before any of the units are occupied/the use commences.”

8.8 World Health Organisation

The current Environmental Noise Guidelines 2018 for the European Region (ENG) supersede the Guidelines for Community Noise from 1999 (CNG). Nevertheless, the ENG recommends that all CNG indoor guideline values and any values not covered by the current guidelines (such as industrial noise and shopping areas) remain valid.

A summary of the guidance from the ENG and CNG is shown in the table below.



Source	CNG guideline indoors all sources	ENG guideline outdoors noise from specific source only
Road traffic noise	35 $L_{Aeq, 16h}$	53 dB L_{den}
	30 $L_{Aeq, 8h}$	45 dB L_{night}
Railway noise	35 $L_{Aeq, 16h}$	54 dB L_{den}
	30 $L_{Aeq, 8h}$	44 dB L_{night}
Aircraft noise	35 $L_{Aeq, 16h}$	45 dB L_{den}
	30 $L_{Aeq, 8h}$	40 dB L_{night}

With regard to single-event noise indicators, Section 2.2.2 of the WHO Environmental Noise Guidelines 2018 state:

“In many situations, average noise levels like the L_{den} or L_{night} indicators may not be the best to explain a particular noise effect. Single-event noise indicators – such as the maximum sound pressure level ($L_{A,max}$) and its frequency distribution – are warranted in specific situations, such as in the context of night-time railway or aircraft noise events that can clearly elicit awakenings and other physiological reactions that are mostly determined by $L_{A,max}$. Nevertheless, the assessment of the relationship between different types of single-event noise indicators and long-term health outcomes at the population level remains tentative. The guidelines therefore make no recommendations for single-event noise indicators.”

8.9 British Standard BS8233: 2014

British Standard 8233: 2014 “Guidance on sound insulation and noise reduction for buildings” provides guidance for the control of noise in and around buildings.

8.9.1 Internal Areas

BS8233:2014 Section 7.7.2 titled “Internal ambient noise levels for dwellings” states:

“In general for steady external noise sources, it is desirable that internal ambient noise levels do not exceed the following guideline values:

Activity	Location	Desirable Internal Ambient Criteria	
		07:00 – 23:00	23:00 to 07:00
Resting	Living Rooms	35 dB $L_{Aeq, 16hour}$	-
Dining	Dining Room/Area	40 dB $L_{Aeq, 16hour}$	-
Sleeping (Daytime Resting)	Bedroom	35 dB $L_{Aeq, 16hour}$	30 dB $L_{Aeq, 8hour}$



Note 1 The above table provides recommended levels for overall noise in the design of a building. These are the sum total of structure-borne and airborne noise sources. Groundborne noise is assessed separately and is not included as part of these targets, as human response to groundborne noise varies with many factors such as level, character, timing, occupant expectation and sensitivity.

Note 2 The levels shown in the above table are based on the existing guidelines issued by the WHO and assume normal diurnal fluctuations in external noise. In cases where local conditions do not follow a typical diurnal pattern, for example on a road serving a port with high levels of traffic at certain times of the night, an appropriate alternative period, e.g. 1 hour, may be used, but the level should be selected to ensure consistency with the levels recommended in the above table.

Note 3 These levels are based on annual average data and do not have to be achieved in all circumstances. For example, it is normal to exclude occasional events, such as fireworks night or New Year's Eve.

Note 4 Regular individual noise events (for example, scheduled aircraft or passing trains) can cause sleep disturbance. A guideline value may be set in terms of SEL or $L_{Amax,F}$ depending on the character and number of events per night. Sporadic noise events could require separate values.

Note 5 If relying on closed windows to meet the guide values, there needs to be an appropriate alternative ventilation that does not compromise the façade insulation or the resulting noise level.

If applicable, any room should have adequate ventilation (e.g. trickle ventilators should be open) during assessment.

Note 6 Attention is drawn to the Building Regulations.

Note 7 Where development is considered necessary or desirable, despite external noise levels above WHO guidelines, the internal target levels may be relaxed by up to 5 dB and reasonable internal conditions still achieved."

8.10 ProPG : Planning & Noise : 2017

8.10.1 The primary goal of the ProPG is to assist the delivery of sustainable development by promoting good health and well-being through the effective management of noise. It seeks to do that through encouraging a good acoustic design process in and around proposed new residential development having regard to national policy on planning and noise. It is applicable to noise from existing transport sources (noting that good professional practice should have regard to any reasonably foreseeable changes in existing and/or new sources of noise). The recommended approach is also considered suitable where some industrial or commercial noise contributes to the acoustic environment provided that is "not dominant".



8.10.2 This ProPG advocates a systematic, proportionate, risk based, 2-stage, approach. The approach encourages early consideration of noise issues, facilitates straightforward accelerated decision making for lower risk sites, and assists proper consideration of noise issues where the acoustic environment is challenging.

8.10.3 The two sequential stages of the overall approach are:

- Stage 1 – an initial noise risk assessment of the proposed development site; and
- Stage 2 – a systematic consideration of four key elements.

8.10.4 The four key elements to be undertaken in parallel during Stage 2 of the recommended approach are:

- Element 1 – demonstrating a “Good Acoustic Design Process”;
- Element 2 – observing internal “Noise Level Guidelines”;
- Element 3 – undertaking an “External Amenity Area Noise Assessment”; and
- Element 4 – consideration of “Other Relevant Issues”.

8.10.5 The ProPG considers suitable guidance on internal noise levels found in “BS8233:2014: Guidance on sound insulation and noise reduction for buildings”. Table 4 in Section 7.7.2 of the standard suggests that “in general, for steady external noise sources, it is desirable that the internal ambient noise level does not exceed the guideline values”. The standard states (Section 7.7.1) that “occupants are usually more tolerant of noise without a specific character” and only noise without such character is considered in Table 4 of the standard.

Activity	Location	07:00 – 23:00 Hours	23:00 – 07:00 Hours
Resting	Living Room	35dB $L_{Aeq,16hr}$	-
Dining	Dining Room / Area	40dB $L_{Aeq,16hr}$	-
Sleeping (daytime resting)	Bedroom	35dB $L_{Aeq,16hr}$	30dB $L_{Aeq,16hr}$ 45dB $L_{Amax,F}$

NOTE 1 the Table provides recommended internal L_{Aeq} target levels for overall noise in the design of a building. These are the sum total of structure-borne and airborne noise sources. Ground-borne noise is assessed separately and is not included as part of these targets, as human response to ground-borne noise varies with many factors such as level, character, timing, occupant expectation and sensitivity.

NOTE 2 The internal L_{Aeq} target levels shown in the Table are based on the existing guidelines issued by the WHO and assume normal diurnal fluctuations in external noise. In cases where local conditions do not follow a typical diurnal pattern, for example on a road serving a port with



high levels of traffic at certain times of the night, an appropriate alternative period, e.g. 1 hour, may be used, but the level should be selected to ensure consistency with the L_{Aeq} target levels recommended in the Table.

NOTE 3 These internal L_{Aeq} target levels are based on annual average data and do not have to be achieved in all circumstances. For example, it is normal to exclude occasional events, such as fireworks night or New Year's Eve.

NOTE 4 Regular individual noise events (for example, scheduled aircraft or passing trains) can cause sleep disturbance. A guideline value may be set in terms of SEL or $L_{Amax,F}$, depending on the character and number of events per night. Sporadic noise events could require separate values. In most circumstances in noise-sensitive rooms at night (e.g. bedrooms) good acoustic design can be used so that individual noise events do not normally exceed 45dB $L_{Amax,F}$ more than 10 times a night. However, where it is not reasonably practicable to achieve this guideline then the judgement of acceptability will depend not only on the maximum noise levels but also on factors such as the source, number, distribution, predictability and regularity of noise events (see Appendix A).

NOTE 5 Designing the site layout and the dwellings so that the internal target levels can be achieved with open windows in as many properties as possible demonstrates good acoustic design. Where it is not possible to meet internal target levels with windows open, internal noise levels can be assessed with windows closed, however any façade openings used to provide whole dwelling ventilation (e.g. trickle ventilators) should be assessed in the "open" position and, in this scenario, the internal L_{Aeq} target levels should not normally be exceeded, subject to the further advice in Note 7.

NOTE 6 Attention is drawn to the requirements of the Building Regulations.

NOTE 7 Where development is considered necessary or desirable, despite external noise levels above WHO guidelines, the internal L_{Aeq} target levels may be relaxed by up to 5dB and reasonable internal conditions still achieved. The more often internal L_{Aeq} levels start to exceed the internal L_{Aeq} target levels by more than 5dB, the more that most people are likely to regard them as "unreasonable". Where such exceedances are predicted, applicants should be required to show how the relevant number of rooms affected has been kept to a minimum. Once internal L_{Aeq} levels exceed the target levels by more than 10dB, they are likely to be regarded as "unacceptable" by most people, particularly if such levels occur more than occasionally. Every effort should be made to avoid relevant rooms experiencing "unacceptable" noise levels at all and where such levels are likely to occur frequently, the development should



be prevented in its proposed form (See Section 3.D).

9.0 Proposed Design Target Internal Noise Levels

On the basis of BS8233:2014 we propose the following internal noise levels be adopted as design targets in the proposed habitable rooms:

Activity	Location	Desirable Internal Ambient Criteria	
		07:00 – 23:00	23:00 to 07:00
Resting	Living Rooms	35 dB LAeq,16hour	-
Dining	Dining Room/Area	40 dB LAeq,16hour	-
Sleeping (Daytime Resting)	Bedroom	35 dB LAeq,16hour	30 dB LAeq,8hour 45 dB LAmax,F

Where development is considered necessary or desirable, despite external noise levels above WHO guidelines, the internal target noise levels may be relaxed (subject to the requirements of any planning conditions) by up to 5 dB and reasonable internal conditions still achieved.

10.0 Achievable Internal Noise Levels

10.1 Windows Partially Open

It is generally accepted that the typical noise reduction achieved with partially opened windows is around 15dBA (ref. BS 8233:2014 Annex G.1). This value is the difference between dBA levels measured outside and inside typical dwellings, therefore 3dBA should be added to free field noise levels to determine outside levels.

The following simple assessments thus indicates the following noise levels may be expected within the proposed worst case habitable rooms with partially opened windows.

10.1.1 Position 1 – Pub Roof Terrace

The new proposal includes an extension to the Goat public house over the current beer garden area, replacing the current beer garden with a first floor roof terrace in the same location.

The roof terrace is in the same location as the beer garden, only on first floor level and slightly smaller in area. Therefore as a worst case assessment, we have therefore considered current measurements at position 1 (with the ground floor beer garden) to be representative the noise expected in the first floor roof terrace at the facades facing the pub.



Upon speaking to the manager on site, we understand the beer garden was in usual use during our survey.

The following table presents our assessment of the noise levels which may be expected within the proposed worst case habitable rooms with partially opened windows.

Description	Predicted Worst Case Internal Noise Levels with Windows Partially Opened	
	Daytime $L_{Aeq}(16\text{-hour})$	Night-time $L_{Aeq}(8\text{-hour})$
External free field level	67 dBA	66 dBA
Façade correction	+3 dBA	+3 dBA
Façade noise level	70 dBA	69 dBA
Noise reduction for conventional thermal double glazing	-15 dBA	-15 dBA
Predicted internal noise levels	55 dBA	54 dBA

10.1.2 Position 2 – Queensway and Rear Façade

The current noise levels measured at position 2 are considered representative of those at the Queensway and rear façades in the future. This is included in our assessment as presented in the following table.

Description	Predicted Worst Case Internal Noise Levels with Windows Partially Opened	
	Daytime $L_{Aeq}(16\text{-hour})$	Night-time $L_{Aeq}(8\text{-hour})$
External free field level	62 dBA	53 dBA
Façade correction	+3 dBA	+3 dBA
Façade noise level	65 dBA	56 dBA
Noise reduction for conventional thermal double glazing	-15 dBA	-15 dBA
Predicted internal noise levels	50 dBA	41 dBA



10.2 Windows Closed

10.2.1 Position 1 – Pub Roof Terrace

Due to the proximity of the roof terrace to the front façade of the proposed development, a higher level of sound insulation is required at position 1 to meet the L_{Aeq} and L_{Amax} requirements of the Local Authority.

As per the previous section, we have considered the noise levels measured at position 1 at the current beer garden to be representative of worst case levels in the future from the smaller first floor roof terrace.

Provision exists to provide appropriate sound insulation solutions as required including, where necessary, suitably specified glazing and ventilators. We have carried out preliminary calculations to determine the likely façade sound insulation performance requirements for the worst affected (east) façade facing the back of the pub. Our calculation methods follow those outlined in BS 8233:2014. Our calculations are based on the following assumptions:

- Conventional brick/block cavity external wall or equivalent
- 35m³ approximate room volume
- 1.6m² approximate window area
- Typical furnishings including beds, sofas, chairs etc.
- Double glazing comprising 10/12/16.8 or equivalent having an R_w of 45dB
- No trickle ventilator has been included as the Local Authority requires “*The scheme of mitigation shall include mechanical ventilation where the internal noise levels exceed those stated in BS8233: 2014 with the windows open.*”

The following table summarises our assessment of achievable noise levels within the proposed worst case habitable rooms with closed windows.

Position 1	Predicted Worst Case Internal Noise Levels with Windows Closed		
	Daytime $L_{Aeq}(16\text{-hour})$	Night-time $L_{Aeq}(8\text{-hour})$	10 th Highest Night-time L_{Amax} (15-minute)
External free field level	67 dBA	66 dBA	88 dBA
Noise reduction for closed windows ¹	-43 dBA	-43 dBA	-43 dBA
Predicted internal noise levels	24 dBA	23 dBA	45 dBA

¹ Calculated in accordance with BS8233:2014 assuming mitigation measures outlined in Section 12.0.



Note: At detailed design stage octave band acoustic specifications will need to be developed, and it will be essential that the prospective glazing/cladding system suppliers can demonstrate compliance with these specifications, rather than simply offering generic glazing configurations as described above.

10.2.2 Position 2 – Queensway and Rear façade

For façades facing away from the roof terrace (south and west) the dominant noise was that of road traffic. Therefore an assessment has been undertaken based on the typical noise reduction achieved by conventional thermal double glazing. This is generally accepted to be 33dBA for road traffic noise, taken from PPG24 (now superseded) and is the difference between dBA levels measured outside and inside typical dwellings, therefore 3dBA should be added to free field noise levels to determine the outside levels.

A simple assessment thus indicates the following noise levels may be expected within the proposed worst case dwellings with conventional thermal double glazing.

Description	Predicted Worst Case Internal Noise Levels with Windows Closed		
	Position 2		
	Daytime $L_{Aeq}(16\text{-hour})$	Night-time $L_{Aeq}(8\text{-hour})$	10 th Highest Night-time L_{Amax} (15-minute)
External free field level	62 dBA	53 dBA	74 dBA
Façade correction	+3 dBA	+3 dBA	+3 dBA
Façade noise level	65 dBA	56 dBA	77 dBA
Noise reduction for conventional thermal double glazing	-33 dBA	-33 dBA	-33 dBA
Predicted internal noise levels	32 dBA	23 dBA	44 dBA

11.0 Mitigation Measures

The predicted worst case internal noise levels with windows closed meet the proposed criteria. It is thus demonstrated that acceptable internal noise levels are achievable with suitably specified glazing.

The predicted worst case internal noise levels with windows partially opened exceed the proposed target levels (as is often the case). The minimum mitigation available to future occupants would be to close their window. Ventilation (incorporating suitable acoustic



attenuation) will be provided to comply with the requirements of the Building Regulations Approved Document F whole dwelling ventilation. The occupants will thus have the option of keeping windows closed for most of the time and opening windows for purge ventilation.

This form of mitigation is supported within the Pro:PG which advises the following (on next page):

2.34 Where the LPA accepts that there is a justification that the internal target noise levels can only be practically achieved with windows closed, which may be the case in urban areas and at sites adjacent to transportation noise sources, special care must be taken to design the accommodation so that it provides good standards of acoustics, ventilation and thermal comfort without unduly compromising other aspects of the living environment. In such circumstances, internal noise levels can be assessed with windows closed but with façade openings used to provide “*whole dwelling ventilation*” in accordance with Building Regulations Approved Document F (e.g. trickle ventilators) in the open position (see Supplementary Document 2). Furthermore, in this scenario the internal L_{Aeq} target noise levels should not generally be exceeded.

2.35 It should also be noted that the internal noise level guidelines are generally not applicable under “*purge ventilation*” conditions as defined by Building Regulations Approved Document F, as this should only occur occasionally (e.g. to remove odour from painting and decorating or from burnt food).

At this stage of the design scheme the precise details of window to be used are not known, nor are the precise details of the ventilation.

The external envelope of the proposed residences will incorporate suitably specified glazing so as to achieve the proposed design target internal noise levels presented above.

Where ventilation is provided through the façade it shall be suitably acoustically attenuated to ensure the achievement of the proposed target internal noise levels is not compromised.

12.0 Plant Noise Emission Criteria

Building services plant external noise emission levels will need to comply with local planning/environmental authority requirements and statutory noise nuisance legislation.

We understand that the requirements of the London Borough of Enfield as per the advice we have previously received from Ned Johnson as follows:



“...I can confirm the London Borough of Enfield's acoustic criteria for air handling plant. The Council will require that the noise from air handling plant will be 10dB(A) below background at the facade of the nearest residential property and 5dB(A) below background at the nearest commercial property...”

On the basis of the above and the results of the environmental noise survey, we propose that the following plant noise emission criteria be achieved at 1 metre from the nearest noise sensitive residential window.

Position	Plant Noise Emission Criteria (dB re 2x10 ⁻⁵ Pa)	
	Daytime (07:00 – 23:00 hours)	Night-time (23:00 – 07:00 hours)
1	48	35
2	43	35

The above criteria are to be achieved with all of the proposed plant operating simultaneously.

If plant contains tonal or impulsive characteristics the external design criteria should be reduced by 5dBA.

It should be noted that the above are subject to the final approval of the Local Authority.

13.0 Conclusions

A detailed environmental noise survey has been undertaken in order to establish the currently prevailing environmental noise climate around the site.

The environmental noise impact upon the proposed dwellings has been assessed in the context of national and local planning policies.

Appropriate target internal noise levels have been proposed. These are achievable using conventional mitigation measures.

Noise impact of the nearby public house has been considered in line with the requirements of the Local Authority.

Mitigation advice, including the use of suitably specified glazing and acoustically attenuated ventilation, have been recommended to reduce to a minimum the adverse impact on health and quality life arising from environmental noise.

The assessment shows the site, subject to appropriate mitigation measures, is suitable for residential development in terms of noise.

Appendix A

The acoustic terms used in this report are defined as follows:

dB	Decibel - Used as a measurement of sound level. Decibels are not an absolute unit of measurement but an expression of ratio between two quantities expressed in logarithmic form. The relationships between Decibel levels do not work in the same way that non-logarithmic (linear) numbers work (e.g. $30\text{dB} + 30\text{dB} = 33\text{dB}$, not 60dB).
dBA	<p>The human ear is more susceptible to mid-frequency noise than the high and low frequencies. The 'A'-weighting scale approximates this response and allows sound levels to be expressed as an overall single figure value in dBA. The _A subscript is applied to an acoustical parameter to indicate the stated noise level is A-weighted</p> <p>It should be noted that levels in dBA do not have a linear relationship to each other; for similar noises, a change in noise level of 10dBA represents a doubling or halving of subjective loudness. A change of 3dBA is just perceptible.</p>
$L_{90,T}$	L_{90} is the noise level exceeded for 90% of the period T (i.e. the quietest 10% of the measurement) and is often used to describe the background noise level.
$L_{eq,T}$	$L_{eq,T}$ is the equivalent continuous sound pressure level. It is an average of the total sound energy measured over a specified time period, T .
L_{max}	L_{max} is the maximum sound pressure level recorded over the period stated. L_{max} is sometimes used in assessing environmental noise where occasional loud noises occur, which may have little effect on the L_{eq} noise level.
L_p	Sound Pressure Level (SPL) is the sound pressure relative to a standard reference pressure of 2×10^{-5} Pa. This level varies for a given source according to a number of factors (including but not limited to: distance from the source; positioning; screening and meteorological effects).
L_w	Sound Power Level (SWL) is the total amount of sound energy inherent in a particular sound source, independent of its environment. It is a logarithmic measure of the sound power in comparison to a specified reference level (usually 10^{-12} W).

250 High Street Enfield


Position 1

L_{eq} , L_{max} and L_{90} Noise Levels

Friday 26 July 2019 to Monday 29 July 2019

■ L_{max} ■ L_{eq}

■ L_{90}



Date and Time

26595/TH1

250 High Street Enfield


Position 2

L_{eq} , L_{max} and L_{90} Noise Levels

Friday 26 July 2019 to Monday 29 July 2019

■ L_{max} ■ L_{eq}

■ L_{90}



Date and Time

26595/TH2